108TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 2932

To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to preserve the effectiveness of medically important antibiotics used in the treatment of human and animal diseases.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

July 25, 2003

Mr. Brown of Ohio (for himself, Mr. GILCHREST, Ms. SLAUGHTER, Mr. WAXMAN, and Mr. ALLEN) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce

A BILL

- To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to preserve the effectiveness of medically important antibiotics used in the treatment of human and animal diseases.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.
- 4 (a) Short Title.—This Act may be cited as the
- 5 "Preservation of Antibiotics for Medical Treatment Act of
- 6 2003".
- 7 (b) Table of Contents.—The table of contents of
- 8 this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
- Sec. 2. Findings.
- Sec. 3. Purpose.

TITLE I—SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS

Sec. 101. Proof of safety of critical antimicrobial animal drugs.

TITLE II—USE OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS IN AGRICULTURE

Sec. 201. Collection of data on critical antimicrobial animal drugs produced for agricultural use.

1 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 The Congress finds that—

antibiotic resistance;

- (1)(A) in January 2001, a Federal interagency
 task force released an action plan to address the
 continuing decline in effectiveness of antibiotics
 against common bacterial infections, referred to as
- 8 (B) the task force determined that antibiotic re-9 sistance is a growing menace to all people and poses 10 a serious threat to public health; and
 - (C) the task force cautioned that if current trends continue, treatments for common infections will become increasingly limited and expensive, and, in some cases, nonexistent;
 - (2) antibiotic resistance, resulting in a reduced number of effective antibiotics, may significantly impair the ability of the United States to respond to terrorist attacks involving bacterial infections or a large influx of hospitalized patients;

1	(3)(A) any overuse or misuse of antibiotics con-
2	tributes to the spread of antibiotic resistance, wheth-
3	er in human medicine or in agriculture; and
4	(B) recognizing the public health threat caused
5	by antibiotic resistance, Congress took several steps
6	to curb antibiotic overuse in human medicine
7	through amendments to the Public Health Service
8	Act (42 U.S.C. 201 et seq.) made by section 102 of
9	the Public Health Threats and Emergencies Act
10	(Public Law 106–505, title I; 114 Stat. 2315), but
11	has not yet addressed antibiotic overuse in agri-
12	culture;
13	(4) in a March 2003 report, the National Acad-
14	emy of Sciences stated that—
15	(A) a decrease in antimicrobial use in
16	human medicine alone will have little effect on
17	the current situation; and
18	(B) substantial efforts must be made to
19	decrease inappropriate overuse in animals and
20	agriculture;
21	(5)(A) an estimated 70 percent of the anti-
22	biotics and other antimicrobial drugs used in the
23	United States are fed to farm animals for nonthera-
24	peutic purposes, including—
25	(i) growth promotion; and

1	(ii) compensation for crowded, unsanitary
2	and stressful farming and transportation condi-
3	tions; and
4	(B) unlike human use of antibiotics, these non-
5	therapeutic uses in animals typically do not require
6	a prescription;
7	(6)(A) many scientific studies confirm that the
8	nontherapeutic use of antibiotics in agricultural ani-
9	mals contributes to the development of antibiotic-re-
10	sistant bacterial infections in people;
11	(B) the periodical entitled "Clinical Infectious
12	Diseases" published a report in June 2002, based on
13	a 2-year review by experts in human and veterinary
14	medicine, public health, microbiology, biostatistics,
15	and risk analysis, of more than 500 scientific studies
16	on the human health impacts of antimicrobial use in
17	agriculture; and
18	(C) the report recommended that antimicrobial
19	agents should no longer be used in agriculture in the
20	absence of disease, but should be limited to therapy
21	for diseased individual animals and prophylaxis
22	when disease is documented in a herd or flock;
23	(7) the United States Geological Survey re-
24	ported in March 2002 that—

1	(A) antibiotics were present in 48 percent
2	of the streams tested nationwide; and
3	(B) almost half of the tested streams were
4	downstream from agricultural operations;
5	(8) an April 1999 study by the General Ac-
6	counting Office concluded that resistant strains of 3
7	microorganisms that cause food-borne illness or dis-
8	ease in humans—Salmonella, Campylobacter, and E.
9	coli—are linked to the use of antibiotics in animals;
10	(9)(A) in January 2003, Consumer Reports
11	published test results on poultry products bought in
12	grocery stores nationwide showing disturbingly high
13	levels of Campylobacter and Salmonella bacteria that
14	were resistant to antibiotics used to treat food-borne
15	illnesses; and
16	(B) further studies showed similar results in
17	other meat products;
18	(10) in October 2001, the New England Jour-
19	nal of Medicine published an editorial urging a ban
20	on nontherapeutic use of medically important anti-
21	biotics in animals;
22	(11)(A) in 1999, the European Union banned
23	the practice of feeding medically important anti-
24	biotics to animals other than for disease treatment
25	or control, and prior to that, individual European

- 1 countries had banned the use of specific antibiotics 2 in animal feed; and
 - (B) those countries have experienced no significant impact on animal health or productivity, food safety, or meat prices, and more importantly, levels of resistant bacteria have declined sharply;
 - (12) in 1998, the National Academy of Sciences noted that antibiotic-resistant bacteria generate a minimum of \$4,000,000,000 to \$5,000,000,000 in costs to United States society and individuals yearly;
 - (13) a year later, the National Academy of Sciences estimated that eliminating the use of all antibiotics as feed additives would cost each American consumer less than \$5 to \$10 per year;
 - (14) the American Medical Association, the American Public Health Association, the National Association of County and City Health Officials, and the National Campaign for Sustainable Agriculture, are among the more than 300 organizations representing health, consumer, agricultural, environmental, humane, and other interests that support enactment of legislation to phase out nontherapeutic use in farm animals of medically important anti-biotics;

1	(15) the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act
2	(21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.)—
3	(A) requires that all drugs be shown to be
4	safe before the drugs are approved; and
5	(B) places the burden on manufacturers to
6	account for health consequences and prove safe-
7	ty;
8	(16)(A) the Food and Drug Administration re-
9	cently modified the drug approval process for anti-
10	biotics to recognize the development of resistant bac-
11	teria as an important aspect of safety;
12	(B) however, most antibiotics currently used in
13	animal production systems for nontherapeutic pur-
14	poses were approved before the Food and Drug Ad-
15	ministration began giving in-depth consideration to
16	resistance during the drug-approval process; and
17	(C) the Food and Drug Administration has not
18	established a schedule for reviewing those existing
19	approvals; and
20	(17) certain non-routine uses of antibiotics in
21	animal agriculture are legitimate to prevent animal
22	disease.
23	SEC. 3. PURPOSE.
24	The purpose of this Act is to preserve the effective-
25	ness of medically important antibiotics used in the treat-

1	ment of human and animal diseases by phasing out use
2	of certain antibiotics for nontherapeutic purposes in food-
3	producing animals.
4	TITLE I—SAFETY OF CRITICAL
5	ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS
6	SEC. 101. PROOF OF SAFETY OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL
7	ANIMAL DRUGS.
8	(a) Definitions.—Section 201 of the Federal Food,
9	Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321) is amended by
10	adding at the end the following:
11	"(nn) Critical Antimicrobial Animal Drug.—
12	The term 'critical antimicrobial animal drug' means a
13	drug that—
14	"(1) is intended for use in food-producing ani-
15	mals; and
16	"(2) is composed wholly or partly of—
17	"(A) any kind of penicillin, tetracycline,
18	bacitracin, macrolide, lincomycin,
19	streptogramin, aminoglycoside, or sulfonamide;
20	or
21	"(B) any other drug or derivative of a
22	drug that is used in humans or intended for use
23	in humans to treat or prevent disease or infec-
24	tion caused by microorganisms.

1	"(00) NONTHERAPEUTIC USE.—The term 'nonthera-
2	peutic use', with respect to a critical antimicrobial animal
3	drug, means any use of the drug as a feed or water addi-
4	tive for an animal in the absence of any clinical sign of
5	disease in the animal for growth promotion, feed effi-
6	ciency, weight gain, routine disease prevention, or other
7	routine purpose.".
8	(b) Applications Pending or Submitted After
9	ENACTMENT.—Section 512(d)(1) of the Federal Food,
10	Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360b(d)(1)) is amend-
11	ed—
12	(1) in the first sentence—
13	(A) in subparagraph (H), by striking "or"
14	at the end;
15	(B) by redesignating subparagraph (I) as
16	subparagraph (J); and
17	(C) by inserting after subparagraph (H)
18	the following:
19	"(I) with respect to a critical antimicrobial
20	animal drug or a drug of the same chemical
21	class as a critical antimicrobial animal drug,
22	the applicant has failed to demonstrate that
23	there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to
24	human health due to the development of anti-
25	microbial resistance that is attributable, in

1	whole or in part, to the nontherapeutic use of
2	the drug; or'; and
3	(2) in the second sentence, by striking "(A)
4	through (I)" and inserting "(A) through (J)".
5	(c) Phased Elimination of Nontherapeutic
6	USE IN ANIMALS OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL
7	DRUGS IMPORTANT FOR HUMAN HEALTH.—Section 512
8	of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C.
9	360b) is amended by adding at the end the following:
10	"(q) Phased Elimination of Nontherapeutic
11	USE IN ANIMALS OF CRITICAL ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL
12	DRUGS IMPORTANT FOR HUMAN HEALTH.—
13	"(1) Applicability.—This subsection applies
14	to the nontherapeutic use in a food-producing ani-
15	mal of a drug—
16	"(A)(i) that is a critical antimicrobial ani-
17	mal drug; or
18	"(ii) that is of the same chemical class as
19	a critical antimicrobial animal drug; and
20	"(B)(i) for which there is in effect an ap-
21	proval of an application or an exemption under
22	subsection (b), (i), or (j) of section 505; or
23	"(ii) that is otherwise marketed for use.
24	"(2) WITHDRAWAL.—The Secretary shall with-
25	draw the approval of a nontherapeutic use in food-

producing animals described in paragraph (1) on the date that is 2 years after the date of enactment of this subsection unless—

> "(A) before the date that is 2 years after the date of the enactment of this subsection, the Secretary makes a final written determination that the holder of the approved application has demonstrated that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health due to the development of antimicrobial resistance that is attributable in whole or in part to the nontherapeutic use of the drug; or

> "(B) before the date specified in subparagraph (A), the Secretary makes a final written determination under this subsection, with respect to a risk analysis of the drug conducted by the Secretary and other relevant information, that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health due to the development of antimicrobial resistance that is attributable in whole or in part to the nontherapeutic use of the drug.

"(3) EXEMPTIONS.—Except as provided in paragraph (5), if the Secretary grants an exemption under section 505(i) for a drug that is a critical

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

antimicrobial animal drug, the Secretary shall rescind each approval of a nontherapeutic use in a food-producing animal of the critical antimicrobial animal drug, or of a drug in the same chemical class as the critical antimicrobial animal drug, as of the date that is 2 years after the date on which the Secretary grants the exemption.

- "(4) APPROVALS.—Except as provided in paragraph (5), if an application for a drug that is a critical antimicrobial animal drug is submitted to the Secretary under section 505(b), the Secretary shall rescind each approval of a nontherapeutic use in a food-producing animal of the critical antimicrobial animal drug, or of a drug in the same chemical class as the critical antimicrobial animal drug, as of the date that is 2 years after the date on which the application is submitted to the Secretary.
- "(5) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (3) or (4), as the case may be, shall not apply if—
 - "(A) before the date on which approval would be rescinded under that paragraph, the Secretary makes a final written determination that the holder of the application for the approved nontherapeutic use has demonstrated that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm

to human health due to the development of
antimicrobial resistance that is attributable in
whole or in part to the nontherapeutic use in
the food-producing animal of the critical antimicrobial animal drug; or

"(B) before the date specified in subparagraph (A), the Secretary makes a final written determination under this subsection, with respect to a risk analysis of the critical antimicrobial animal drug conducted by the Secretary and any other relevant information, that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to human health due to the development of antimicrobial resistance that is attributable in whole or in part to the nontherapeutic use of the drug.".

17 TITLE II—USE OF CRITICAL

18 ANTIMICROBIAL ANIMAL

19 DRUGS IN AGRICULTURE

- 20 SEC. 201. COLLECTION OF DATA ON CRITICAL ANTI-
- 21 MICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS.
- 22 (a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter V of the Federal Food,
- 23 Drug, and Cosmetic Act is amended by inserting after sec-
- 24 tion 512 (21 U.S.C. 360b) the following:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1	"SEC. 512A. COLLECTION OF DATA ON CRITICAL ANTI-
2	MICROBIAL ANIMAL DRUGS.
3	"(a) In General.—Not later than July 1 of each
4	year, a manufacturer of a critical antimicrobial animal
5	drug or an animal feed for food-producing animals bearing
6	or containing a critical antimicrobial animal drug shall
7	submit to the Secretary a report, in such form as the Sec-
8	retary shall require, containing information on the sales
9	during the previous calendar year of the critical anti-
10	microbial animal drug or the animal feed.
11	"(b) Information to Be Included.—A report
12	under subsection (a) shall—
13	"(1) state separately the quantity of the critical
14	antimicrobial animal drug, including such quantity
15	in animal feed bearing or containing the critical
16	antimicrobial drug, sold for each kind of food-pro-
17	ducing animal;
18	"(2) describe the claimed purpose of use for the
19	drug for each kind of food-producing animal as
20	being for growth promotion, weight gain, feed effi-
21	ciency, disease prevention, disease control, disease
22	treatment, or another purpose; and
23	"(3) describe the dosage form of the drug.
24	"(c) Publication.—

- 1 "(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall make 2 the information submitted under subsection (a) 3 available to the public not less than annually.
- "(2) PROTECTION OF CONFIDENTIALITY.—The Secretary may aggregate information, if necessary, so as to avoid disclosure under paragraph (1) of confidential business information.".
- 8 (b) VIOLATION.—Subsection (e) of section 301 of the 9 Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 331(e)) 10 is amended by striking "515(f)" and inserting "512A, 11 515(f)".
- 12 (c) Effective Date.—The amendments made by 13 this section shall take effect on January 1, 2005.

 \bigcirc